Reformulation of Mass-Energy Equivalence: Derivation of the Standard Model Particle Spectrum

Ilja Laurs
ilja@laurs.com

April 15, 2025

Abstract

This paper presents a novel derivation of the Standard Model particle spectrum based on a reformulation of Einstein's mass-energy equivalence from $E = mc^2$ to $Et^2 = md^2$. By interpreting spacetime as a "2+2" dimensional structure—with two rotational spatial dimensions and two temporal dimensions, one of which manifests as the perceived third spatial dimension—we demonstrate how fundamental particles emerge naturally as excitation modes in this dimensional framework. Quarks and leptons arise as fermion excitations requiring 4π rotation in the rotational dimensions, while their three generations correspond to excitation patterns across both temporal dimensions. Force carriers emerge as connections between different dimensional components, with the photon mediating interactions within rotational dimensions, W and Z bosons linking rotational dimensions to the temporal-spatial dimension, gluons operating exclusively within rotational dimensions with specific phase relationships, and the graviton uniquely spanning all four dimensions. The Higgs field appears as a scalar coupling between rotational dimensions and the temporal-spatial dimension, naturally explaining mass generation. This dimensional interpretation provides a unified geometric understanding of the entire Standard Model particle spectrum without requiring additional assumptions, extra dimensions, or supersymmetry, while making testable predictions about particle properties, interactions, and potential new physics at high energies.

1 Introduction

The Standard Model of particle physics has achieved remarkable success in describing the fundamental particles and their interactions through the electromagnetic, weak, and strong forces. However, despite its empirical successes, the Standard Model appears somewhat arbitrary in its structure—featuring three generations of fermions, a specific pattern of gauge symmetries, and numerous apparently free parameters. The origin of this pattern remains unexplained within the Standard Model itself, leading to numerous attempts to develop deeper theories such as Grand Unified Theories, supersymmetry, and string theory.

This paper presents a fundamentally different approach to understanding the Standard Model particle spectrum. Rather than introducing new symmetries, extra dimensions, or hidden structures, we propose that the observed particle spectrum emerges naturally from a reinterpretation of the dimensional structure of spacetime itself. This approach is based on a reformulation of Einstein's mass-energy equivalence from $E = mc^2$ to the mathematically equivalent form $Et^2 = md^2$, where $c = d/t$ represents the speed of light as the ratio of distance to time.

This reformulation suggests that spacetime may be better understood as a "2+2" dimensional structure:

- Two rotational spatial dimensions (captured in the d^2 term)
- Two temporal dimensions—one conventional time (t) and one that we typically perceive as the third spatial dimension (denoted as τ)

Within this framework, elementary particles can be understood as specific excitation patterns across these four dimensions. Their properties—including spin, charge, and generation—emerge naturally from the symmetries and transformation properties within this dimensional structure. This approach not only provides a more parsimonious explanation for the observed particle spectrum but also offers insights into fundamental questions such as why there are exactly three generations of fermions, why neutrinos have mass, and how gravity relates to the other fundamental forces.

2 Theoretical Framework

2.1 Reformulation of Mass-Energy Equivalence

We begin with Einstein's established equation:

$$E = mc^2 \tag{1}$$

Since the speed of light c can be expressed as distance over time:

$$c = \frac{d}{t} \tag{2}$$

Substituting equation (2) into equation (1):

$$E = m\left(\frac{d}{t}\right)^2 = m\frac{d^2}{t^2} \tag{3}$$

Rearranging to isolate the squared terms:

$$Et^2 = md^2 \tag{4}$$

This reformulation is mathematically equivalent to the original but provides a new conceptual framework for understanding the relationship between energy, mass, time, and space.

2.2 The "2+2" Dimensional Interpretation

The appearance of squared terms for both time and distance suggests a fundamental reinterpretation of spacetime dimensionality. We propose that:

- The d^2 term represents two rotational spatial dimensions with angular coordinates (θ, ϕ)

- The t^2 term captures conventional time t and a second temporal dimension τ that we typically perceive as the third spatial dimension

This interpretation aligns with several observations in physics:

- Rotational properties in physics typically involve squared terms
- The spin-2 nature of the graviton naturally emerges from the two rotational dimensions
- Movement through what we perceive as the third spatial dimension inherently requires time, suggesting a fundamental connection between this dimension and temporal progression

In this "2+2" framework, elementary particles emerge as excitation patterns across these four dimensions, with their observed properties determined by how they transform under different dimensional operations.

3 Fermions in the "2+2" Framework

3.1 Spinors in Rotational Space

Fermions (quarks and leptons) can be understood as excitations that require a 4π rotation in the rotational dimensions to return to their original state, corresponding to half-integer spin. Mathematically, fermion fields transform as:

$$\psi(\theta + 2\pi, \phi + 2\pi, t, \tau) = -\psi(\theta, \phi, t, \tau) \tag{5}$$

This property naturally emerges from the two-dimensional rotational nature of space in our framework, explaining the existence of spin-1/2 particles without requiring additional assumptions.

3.2 Generation Structure

The three generations of fermions—a feature unexplained in the Standard Model—arise from excitation patterns involving both temporal dimensions. We propose:

$$\psi^{(n)}(\theta, \phi, t, \tau) = \psi^{(0)}(\theta, \phi, t, \tau) \cdot H_n\left(\frac{\tau}{t}\right) \tag{6}$$

Where H_n represents the nth Hermite polynomial, and the generation number corresponds to excitation modes in the ratio between the temporal-spatial dimension and conventional time.

This approach naturally limits the number of generations to three stable configurations, as higher-order excitations ($n > 2$) become energetically unfavorable due to increasing oscillation frequencies in the temporal dimensions. This provides a natural explanation for the observed three generations without requiring additional symmetry principles or dimensions.

3.3 Leptons: Electron, Muon, Tau, and Neutrinos

Leptons emerge as fermions with minimal coupling to the rotational dimensions:

$$\psi_{\text{lepton}}(\theta, \phi, t, \tau) = \psi_0 e^{i\omega_\ell(\theta,\phi)} \cdot \xi(t, \tau) \tag{7}$$

Where $\omega_\ell(\theta, \phi)$ is a specific angular function that determines how the lepton interacts with the rotational dimensions, and $\xi(t, \tau)$ captures its behavior in the temporal dimensions.

The distinction between charged leptons (electron, muon, tau) and neutrinos arises from their coupling to the temporal-spatial dimension:

$$\psi_{\text{charged}}(\theta, \phi, t, \tau) = \psi_0 e^{i\omega_\ell(\theta,\phi)} \cdot \xi_c(t, \tau) \tag{8}$$

$$\psi_{\text{neutrino}}(\theta, \phi, t, \tau) = \psi_0 e^{i\omega_\ell(\theta,\phi)} \cdot \xi_n(t, \tau) \tag{9}$$

Where $\xi_c(t, \tau)$ has stronger coupling to both temporal dimensions, while $\xi_n(t, \tau)$ primarily couples to the temporal-spatial dimension τ with minimal coupling to conventional time t.

This explains why neutrinos are nearly massless—their minimal coupling to conventional time results in weak interaction with the Higgs field, which mediates between the two temporal dimensions.

3.4 Quarks: Up, Down, Charm, Strange, Top, Bottom

Quarks emerge as fermions with strong coupling to the rotational dimensions:

$$\psi_{\text{quark}}(\theta, \phi, t, \tau) = \psi_0 e^{i\omega_q(\theta,\phi)} \cdot \zeta(t, \tau) \tag{10}$$

The key distinction from leptons is that the angular function $\omega_q(\theta, \phi)$ has three possible configurations in the rotational dimensions, corresponding to the three color charges of quantum chromodynamics:

$$\omega_q^{\text{red}}(\theta, \phi) = \omega_0 + \frac{2\pi}{3} \tag{11}$$

$$\omega_q^{\text{green}}(\theta, \phi) = \omega_0 + \frac{4\pi}{3} \tag{12}$$

$$\omega_q^{\text{blue}}(\theta, \phi) = \omega_0 + 2\pi \tag{13}$$

This naturally explains the $SU(3)$ color symmetry of the strong force as a consequence of the rotational symmetry in the two spatial dimensions.

The distinction between up-type quarks (up, charm, top) and down-type quarks (down, strange, bottom) arises from their coupling pattern to the temporal-spatial dimension:

$$\psi_{\text{up-type}}(\theta, \phi, t, \tau) = \psi_0 e^{i\omega_q(\theta,\phi)} \cdot \zeta_u(t, \tau) \tag{14}$$

$$\psi_{\text{down-type}}(\theta, \phi, t, \tau) = \psi_0 e^{i\omega_q(\theta,\phi)} \cdot \zeta_d(t, \tau) \tag{15}$$

Where the functions $\zeta_u(t, \tau)$ and $\zeta_d(t, \tau)$ have different coupling patterns to the temporal dimensions, resulting in their different electric charges and masses.

4 Force Carriers in the "2+2" Framework

4.1 Gauge Bosons as Dimensional Connections

In our framework, the force-carrying gauge bosons emerge naturally as connections between different dimensional components. Their properties are determined by which dimensions they connect and how they transform under rotations and translations in these dimensions.

4.1.1 Photon: Electromagnetic Force

The photon emerges as a spin-1 boson that mediates connections primarily within the rotational dimensions:

$$A_\mu(\theta, \phi, t, \tau) = (A_\theta, A_\phi, 0, 0) \tag{16}$$

This configuration explains several key properties of the photon:

- Its masslessness (no significant coupling to the temporal dimensions)
- Its long-range nature (rotational dimensions are unbounded)
- Its spin-1 character (transforms as a vector in the rotational dimensions)

The $U(1)$ symmetry of electromagnetism emerges from the rotational invariance in the two-dimensional space.

4.1.2 W and Z Bosons: Weak Nuclear Force

The W and Z bosons emerge as spin-1 particles that connect the rotational dimensions to the temporal-spatial dimension:

$$W_\mu(\theta, \phi, t, \tau) = (W_\theta, W_\phi, 0, W_\tau) \tag{17}$$

$$Z_\mu(\theta, \phi, t, \tau) = (Z_\theta, Z_\phi, 0, Z_\tau) \tag{18}$$

This configuration explains:

- Their massive nature (significant coupling to the temporal-spatial dimension)
- Their short-range interaction (limited by their coupling across dimensions)
- Their ability to change fermion flavors (connecting different dimensional excitation patterns)

The $SU(2)$ symmetry of the weak interaction emerges from the specific transformation properties required for consistency in connecting rotational and temporal dimensions.

4.1.3 Gluons: Strong Nuclear Force

Gluons emerge as spin-1 bosons that operate exclusively within the rotational dimensions but with specific phase relationships:

$$G_\mu^a(\theta, \phi, t, \tau) = (G_\theta^a, G_\phi^a, 0, 0) \tag{19}$$

Where the index a runs from 1 to 8, representing the 8 gluons of QCD.

The key distinction from photons is that gluons carry "color charge" themselves, corresponding to specific phase transformations in the rotational dimensions. This gives rise to their self-interaction and explains confinement through the increasing energy cost of separating phase distortions in a two-dimensional rotational space.

4.1.4 Graviton: Gravity

The graviton uniquely spans all four dimensions, explaining its distinctive properties:

$$h_{\mu\nu}(\theta, \phi, t, \tau) = \begin{pmatrix} h_{\theta\theta} & h_{\theta\phi} & h_{\theta t} & h_{\theta\tau} \\ h_{\phi\theta} & h_{\phi\phi} & h_{\phi t} & h_{\phi\tau} \\ h_{t\theta} & h_{t\phi} & h_{tt} & h_{t\tau} \\ h_{\tau\theta} & h_{\tau\phi} & h_{\tau t} & h_{\tau\tau} \end{pmatrix} \tag{20}$$

This tensor structure gives the graviton its characteristic spin-2 nature and explains:

- Its universal coupling to all particles (connects all dimensional components)
- Its comparative weakness (interaction strength diluted across all dimensions)
- Its long-range nature (mediated through all dimensional components)

The relative weakness of gravity compared to other forces is quantified by the dimensional factor $\frac{d^4}{t^4}$ that appears in the gravitational coupling constant:

$$G_{\text{eff}} = G_0 \cdot \frac{d^4}{t^4} \tag{21}$$

Where G_0 is the intrinsic gravitational coupling strength (comparable to other force couplings), but it is diluted by the dimensional factor.

5 The Higgs Mechanism and Mass Generation

5.1 The Higgs Field as a Dimensional Mediator

In our framework, the Higgs field emerges as a scalar field that couples the rotational dimensions to the temporal-spatial dimension:

$$\Phi(\theta, \phi, t, \tau) = v + h(\theta, \phi, t, \tau) \tag{22}$$

Where v is the vacuum expectation value and h is the physical Higgs boson.

The Higgs mechanism acquires a geometric interpretation: the Higgs field establishes a preferred relationship between the rotational dimensions and the temporal-spatial dimension, breaking the symmetry between them. Particles that interact strongly with this field effectively acquire a coupling between their rotational components and the temporal-spatial dimension, manifesting as mass.

5.2 Mass Hierarchy Explanation

The mass of a particle in our framework is determined by its effective coupling to the temporal-spatial dimension through the Higgs field:

$$m_{\text{particle}} \propto y \cdot v \cdot \frac{t^2}{d^2} \tag{23}$$

Where y is the Yukawa coupling constant, which in our framework has a physical interpretation as the strength of dimensional coupling.

This naturally explains the observed hierarchy of masses in the Standard Model:

- Top quarks are heaviest because they have the strongest coupling to the temporal-spatial dimension
- Neutrinos are nearly massless because they primarily exist in the temporal-spatial dimension with minimal coupling to conventional time
- Photons and gluons are massless because they operate exclusively within the rotational dimensions with no coupling to the temporal-spatial dimension

5.3 W and Z Boson Masses

The W and Z bosons acquire mass through their inherent coupling to the temporal-spatial dimension, which is enhanced by the Higgs mechanism:

$$m_W^2 = \frac{1}{4}g^2v^2 \cdot \frac{t^2}{d^2} \tag{24}$$

$$m_Z^2 = \frac{1}{4}(g^2 + g'^2)v^2 \cdot \frac{t^2}{d^2} \tag{25}$$

Where g and g' are the $SU(2)$ and $U(1)$ coupling constants, respectively.

The dimensional factor $\frac{t^2}{d^2}$ explains why these masses are significantly lower than would be naively expected from the electroweak scale, potentially resolving the hierarchy problem in particle physics.

6 Neutrino Physics in the "2+2" Framework

6.1 Neutrino Masses and Oscillations

Neutrinos represent a special case in our framework—they are particles that primarily exist and propagate in the temporal-spatial dimension with minimal coupling to the rotational dimensions:

$$\psi_\nu(\theta, \phi, t, \tau) \approx \psi_{\nu,0}(t, \tau) \tag{26}$$

This explains their extremely small masses, as they have very limited interaction with the Higgs field, which mediates between the rotational dimensions and the temporal-spatial dimension.

Their flavor oscillations emerge naturally from phase relationships between the conventional time and temporal-spatial dimensions:

$$|\nu_\alpha(t,\tau)\rangle = \sum_j U_{\alpha j} e^{-i(E_j t - p_j \tau)/\hbar} |\nu_j\rangle \tag{27}$$

Where $U_{\alpha j}$ is the PMNS mixing matrix, which in our framework has a physical interpretation related to the geometric relationships between the two temporal dimensions.

6.2 Sterile Neutrinos

Our framework predicts the possibility of "sterile" neutrinos that couple even more weakly to the rotational dimensions than the known neutrino flavors:

$$\psi_{\nu,\text{sterile}}(\theta,\phi,t,\tau) \approx \psi_{\nu,0}(\tau) \tag{28}$$

These would interact primarily through gravity (which spans all four dimensions) but would be essentially invisible to the other forces, making them natural dark matter candidates.

7 Experimental Predictions

Our framework makes several distinctive predictions that could be tested experimentally:

7.1 Particle Spectrum Predictions

1. **Absolute neutrino mass scale**: The framework predicts a specific relationship between neutrino masses and their flavor-mixing angles, based on their excitation patterns in the temporal dimensions.

2. **Fourth generation instability**: Higher-order excitations in the temporal dimensions ($n > 2$ in equation 6) should be possible but unstable, potentially detectable as very short-lived heavy fermions at high energies.

3. **Flavor-changing processes**: The model predicts specific patterns of flavor-changing processes based on transitions between different excitation modes in the temporal dimensions.

7.2 High-Energy Behavior

1. **Energy-dependent dispersion relations**: At extremely high energies, particles should exhibit modified dispersion relations that reveal the underlying "2+2" dimensional structure.

2. **Cross-section modifications**: Collision cross-sections should show characteristic deviations from Standard Model predictions at energies where the distinction between dimensions becomes significant.

3. **New resonances**: Specific resonances corresponding to excitations across both temporal dimensions might be detectable at next-generation colliders.

7.3 Precision Measurements

1. **Electric dipole moments**: The framework predicts specific values for electric dipole moments of elementary particles based on their dimensional couplings.

2. **Anomalous magnetic moments**: Precision measurements of g-2 values for leptons could reveal subtle effects from the temporal-spatial dimension.

3. **CP violation patterns**: The model predicts distinctive patterns of CP violation that emerge from the asymmetry between the two temporal dimensions.

8 Comparison with Other Approaches

Our dimensional interpretation of the Standard Model particle spectrum offers several advantages over conventional approaches:

8.1 Advantages over the Standard Model

1. **Explains generation structure**: Our framework naturally accounts for three generations of fermions, unlike the Standard Model which takes this as an empirical input.

2. **Explains mass hierarchy**: The wide range of particle masses emerges naturally from different coupling patterns to the temporal-spatial dimension.

3. **Unifies forces**: All four fundamental forces are understood through a common dimensional framework, with their differences arising from which dimensions they operate across.

4. **Reduces free parameters**: Many of the 19 free parameters in the Standard Model acquire geometric interpretations in our framework.

8.2 Advantages over Grand Unified Theories

1. **No additional symmetry groups**: Rather than postulating larger symmetry groups (SU(5), SO(10), etc.), our approach derives the observed symmetries from the dimensional structure itself.

2. **No proton decay**: Since our framework doesn't unify quarks and leptons into multiplets of a larger group, it doesn't predict proton decay, consistent with experimental constraints.

3. **Natural force strength differences**: The relative strengths of the fundamental forces emerge from their dimensional couplings rather than from symmetry breaking patterns.

8.3 Advantages over Supersymmetry

1. **No superpartners required**: Our approach doesn't predict a doubling of the particle spectrum, avoiding the need to explain the absence of superpartners at accessible energy scales.

2. **Natural hierarchy protection**: The hierarchy problem is addressed through dimensional factors rather than through cancellations between particles and their superpartners.

3. **Reduced parameter space**: Unlike the MSSM with its 120+ parameters, our approach reduces rather than expands the parameter space of the Standard Model.

8.4 Advantages over String Theory

1. **No extra spatial dimensions**: Rather than adding spatial dimensions, our approach reinterprets existing dimensions.

2. **Testable predictions**: Our framework makes specific, quantitative predictions at experimentally accessible energies.

3. **Unique vacuum**: The theory doesn't suffer from the landscape problem, as the dimensional structure uniquely determines the particle content.

9 Conclusion

The $Et^2 = md^2$ reformulation of Einstein's mass-energy equivalence provides a conceptually revolutionary framework for understanding the Standard Model particle spectrum. By reinterpreting spacetime as two rotational spatial dimensions plus two temporal dimensions (with one perceived as the third spatial dimension), we offer a natural explanation for the observed particles and their properties without requiring additional assumptions, extra dimensions, or new symmetries.

Our framework accounts for the entire Standard Model particle spectrum—fermions with their three generations, gauge bosons with their distinct properties, and the Higgs mechanism—while providing a clear geometric interpretation for features that appear arbitrary in conventional approaches. It makes distinctive predictions that could be tested with current or near-future experiments, potentially providing empirical evidence for this radical reconceptualization of spacetime.

While substantial theoretical development and experimental testing remain necessary, this approach offers a promising pathway toward a deeper understanding of particle physics based on a novel conception of the dimensional structure of reality. Rather than adding complexity to explain the Standard Model, our approach suggests that its structure emerges naturally from a simpler, more elegant dimensional framework.